Stability of model flocks in a vortical flow
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We investigate the stability of self-propelled particle flocks in the Taylor-Green vortex, a steady vortical flow. We consider a model in which particles align themselves to a combination of the orientation and the acceleration of particles within a critical radius. We identify two distinct regimes: If alignment with orientation is dominant, the particles tend to be expelled from regions of high vorticity. In contrast, if anticipation is dominant, the particles accumulate in areas of large vorticity. In both regimes, the relative order of the flock is reduced. However, we show that there can be a critical balance of the two effects that stabilizes the flock in the presence of external fluid forcing. This strategy could provide a mechanism for animal flocks to remain globally ordered in the presence of fluid forcing, and it may also have applications in the design of flocking autonomous drones and artificial microswimmers.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In a vast range of biological systems, from bird flocks to fish schools to insect swarms, collective behavior is observed. Studying why and how such collective behavior arises can be important to first understand and then address a number of ecological issues, mainly due to human impact on the environment. In addition, there are also important technological applications, such as, e.g., collective robot motion [1].

In this paper, we investigate one of the most important and interesting examples of collective behavior, namely collective motion. While various modeling approaches have been suggested in the literature, one of the most popular is based on self-propelled particles (SPPs), building on the seminal Vicsek model [2]. In this numerical approach, $N$ particles move in a two-dimensional domain (extension to higher dimensions is straightforward) with a constant velocity $V$. A particle’s direction of motion is instantaneously updated at every numerical time step to align with neighboring particles within some fixed critical radius, $R$. Noise is introduced in the system by applying a random rotation of a given size to each particle after the alignment step. This is to model intrinsic noise, due to the fact that animals will never perfectly align, and extrinsic noise, i.e., forcing from the external environment.

The number of subsequent variants of the Vicsek model is far too great to list here, and we recommend that the interested reader consult [3] and references therein. While it has been shown that the behavior of marching locusts could be modeled using an SPP approach [4], Khurana and Ouellette [5] showed that Vicsek flocks were particularly sensitive to spatiotemporally correlated noise. In particular, flocks were more easily destabilized when the extrinsic noise consisted of a model of a turbulent flow, in contrast to the case in which a random (δ-correlated in space and time) field forced the system. Furthermore, we recently showed [6] that Vicsek flocks in a steady vortical flow are concentrated into areas of high vorticity. This has a profound effect on the morphology of the flock, with a dramatic increase in the filamentarity, i.e., the perimeter of the flock is increased for a given area. One reason animals exhibit collective motion is it gives them a better chance of avoiding predation [7]. If one assumes a predator generally will attack the closest individual, an animal can reduce the area (volume) of the region in which it is the closest prey to a predator by joining a “flock” [8]. Of course, the size of this “domain of danger” is also dependent on the shape of the flock, with safety decreasing if the perimeter (surface area) of a flock increases for a given area (volume). Hence our earlier findings [6] could have profound implications for animals flocking in a turbulent environment, or more likely animals have developed strategies to counteract this effect. Finding such a strategy is the goal of this paper. In particular (motivated by the recent study of Morin et al. [9]), we wish to understand if both alignment and anticipation can stabilize model flocks in the presence of spatially correlated extrinsic noise.

II. MODELING AND COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

We consider an extension to the self-propelled particle (SPP) model presented in [9], taking $N = 500$ self-propelled particles in a two-dimensional square periodic domain with sides of size $L = 2\pi$. Each particle has a position $x_i(t)$ and an intrinsic, self-driven, velocity $v_i(t)$. As is typical in SPP models, all particles are assumed to move with the same speed, $V = 1$, and a particles intrinsic velocity is determined by

$$v_i = (V \cos \theta_i, V \sin \theta_i),$$

where $\theta_i$ determines the direction in which the particle moves. In the Vicsek model [2], $\theta_i$ is periodically (at each time increment) determined from the average of the particle’s own direction, plus the directions of its neighbors within a critical radius, $R$, such that

$$\theta_i = \langle \theta_j \rangle_{|x_i-x_j|<R} + \eta \xi_i,$$

where angular brackets denote suitable averaging of the orientation of neighbors within the critical radius. The final term in Eq. (2) is a noise term; specifically, $\xi_i$ is a uniformly distributed random variable on the interval $[-1,1]$, and $\eta$ is the intensity of the noise.

Morin et al. [9] proposed an extension to the model by including both alignment and anticipation such that the rate of
change of orientation is given by

$$\dot{\theta}_i(t) = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left[ \sin(\theta_i - (\theta_j + \alpha \chi_j)) \right]_{|x_i-x_j|<R} + \eta \xi_i,$$

(3)

where $\alpha$ is a parameter we shall discuss shortly, $\chi_j \equiv \dot{\theta}_j / |\dot{\theta}_j|$ is the sign of the angular velocity (the particle spin), and $\tau$ is an orientation rate. This is more easily understood if we expand the sine function and recast Eq. (3) as

$$\dot{\theta}_i(t) = -\frac{1}{\tau} \cos \alpha \left[ \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j) \right]_{|x_i-x_j|<R}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\tau} \sin \alpha \left[ \sin \left( \theta_i - \left( \theta_j + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right) \right]_{|x_i-x_j|<R} + \eta \xi_i.$$

(4)

FIG. 1. Typical structure and trajectories of the flocks with no external flow. Left: snapshots of the system in a statistically steady state with radius of interaction $R = 1.0$ [(a) $\alpha = 0$, (c) $\alpha = \pi/2$]; arrows indicate the particle direction of motion. Right: corresponding particle trajectories (for 50 particles); dark to light indicates the direction of time.

It is then clear that the first term is the standard Vicsek interaction, which acts to promote alignment with orientations, whereas the second term promotes alignment with the acceleration of particles within the critical radius. The relative contribution of these two terms is determined by $\alpha$; in the limit $\tau \to 0$ with $\alpha = 0$ we recover the Vicsek model. In contrast, with $\alpha = \pi/2$ particles align purely with neighboring particles’ acceleration. It is worth noting that a model that included both alignment and anticipation of others’ motion was considered in Szabó et al. [10], and we shall discuss their findings alongside our own later in the article. Morin et al. [9] showed that (contrary to what one might expect) including anticipation in the model does not enhance the stability of the
flock. Indeed, they found with increasing values of $\alpha$ that there was a transition from a flocking state to a spinning state. This can be seen in Fig. 1, where we plot snapshots of the system and particle trajectories.

In this paper, we shall investigate whether including anticipation can stabilize the flock in the presence of external noise that exhibits complex spatiotemporal correlations, such as one would expect flocks forming in a turbulent fluid environment would experience. Based on our previous arguments [6], we forgo the computational expense and complexity of a direct numerical simulation (DNS) of the Navier-Stokes equations. Instead, we turn to a well-studied and widely used [11–14] “toy” flow, namely the Taylor-Green (TG) vortex [15], defined as

$$\mathbf{v}_f(x) = (u_f, v_f) = V_f(\sin(x) \cos(y), -\cos(x) \sin(y)),$$

where $x = (x, y)$. The vorticity field is given by

$$\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}_f = 2V_f \sin(x) \sin(y).$$

The flow is incompressible ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_f = 0$), and it consists of cells of counterrotating vortices as seen in Fig. 3. $V_f$ is a scaling parameter that can be adjusted to modify the relative intrinsic particle speed to that of the background flow. The equation of motion for the SPPs is modified to

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \mathbf{v}_i = (V \cos(\theta_i) + u_f(x_i), V \sin(\theta_i) + v_f(x_i)).$$

We follow [5,6] and assume that particles orient themselves to the direction of motion of nearby particles, replacing $\theta_j$ in Eq. (3) with [16]

$$\dot{\theta}_j = \arctan(2V \sin(\theta_i) + v_f(x_j), V \cos(\theta_i) + u_f(x_j)).$$

We retain the intrinsic noise ($\eta$) in Eq. (3) to model the fact that it is unlikely real animals will perfectly align themselves with neighbors within the critical radius and fix $\eta = 0.2/\tau$.

Particles are evolved according to an explicit Euler scheme, such that

$$\mathbf{x}_i(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{x}_i(t) + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_i(t),$$

$$\theta_i(t + \Delta t) = \theta_i(t) + \Delta t \dot{\theta}_i(t),$$

where at each time step $\mathbf{v}_i(t)$ is updated according to Eqs. (7), (8), and (3). Note that $\xi_i$ is drawn randomly at each time step, we take $\Delta t = 0.05$, and in each simulation we evolve the system for $2 \times 10^3$ time steps. We fix $\tau = \Delta t$ such that if $\alpha = 0$, we recover the standard Vicsek model. The global order of the system can be characterized by computing

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{NV} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_i(t).$$

In a typical simulation, $\psi$ grows from 0 until it saturates and fluctuates around some mean value, which depends on $R$, $\alpha$, and $V_f$, hence it is a convenient measure to establish whether the system has reached a statistically steady state. As we are interested in systems that exhibit flocking behavior in the limit of small intrinsic noise and no extrinsic noise, we set $R = 1.0$ for all simulations reported here.

We then perform a suite of numerical simulations to thoroughly investigate a two-dimensional ($\alpha, V_f$)-parameter space, with $\alpha \in [0, \pi/4]$ and $V_f \in [0.1, 1.25]$. For each point in $(\alpha, V_f)$ space we perform $i = 1, \ldots, 10$ simulations, computing the mean value of $\psi_{\alpha, V_f, i}$ and its variance $\sigma_{\alpha, V_f, i}^2$ in each simulation (once it has reached a statistically steady value). We report the ensemble-averaged mean (weighted by the inverse of the variance) value over the 10 simulations. We denote this value as $\langle \psi \rangle$, where the angular brackets indicate the use of temporal and ensemble averaging. By taking a weighted mean, the standard deviation of $\langle \psi \rangle$ (for a given $\alpha$ and $V_f$) is [17]

$$\sigma_{\psi} = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{\psi, i}^{-2}\right)^{-1}}.$$  

### III. RESULTS

Our main results are presented in Fig. 2, where we plot $\langle \psi \rangle$ versus $\alpha$ for varying $V_f$. For all values of $V_f$, a moderate value of $\alpha$ is seen to enhance the global alignment of the the flock. At larger values of $\alpha$, the stability breaks down as particles form smaller clusters that follow tight spiral trajectories. However, what is striking is that as the flow speed increases, anticipation is seen to have a profound stabilizing effect. Note also that there is a reduction in the value of $\sigma_{\psi}$ for moderate values of $\alpha$, at least for $V_f < 1.0$, which indicates a reduction in the magnitude of the fluctuations of $\psi$. One would imagine that this is also advantageous in that it allows information (e.g., changes in direction, arrival of a predator) to propagate more efficiently through the flock.

To understand the phenomenon in Fig. 3, we plot particle trajectories and snapshots of the system for $V_f = 0.75$ with varying $\alpha$. For $\alpha = 0$, i.e., the Vicsek model, we see that the particles are expelled from regions of high vorticity and form filamentary structures, as reported in our earlier work [6]. In contrast, for large values of $\alpha$, where anticipation becomes dominant, particles move into the areas of high vorticity and

![Fig. 2. Flock stability. Temporally and ensemble-averaged (denoted by angular brackets) values of the order parameter $\psi$, plotted as a function $\alpha$ [see Eqs. (3) and (4)], for varying flow speed $V_f$. Error bars are given by $\pm 2\sigma_{\psi}$; see Eq. (11).](image-url)
FIG. 3. Typical structure and trajectories of the flocks within the Taylor-Green vortex. Left: snapshots of the system in a statistically steady state with radius of interaction $R = 1.0$ [(a) $\alpha = 0$; (c) $\alpha = 0.4$; (e) $\alpha = \pi/4$]; $V_f = 0.75$; arrows indicate the particle direction of motion. The magnitude of the flow vorticity is indicated by the pseudocolor plot, with light (yellow) corresponding to regions of large positive vorticity, and dark (blue) corresponding to regions of negative vorticity. Right: corresponding particle trajectories (for 50 particles); dark to light indicates the direction of time.
within the box), and we denote this quantity particle density (based on the number of particles lying in a regular array of boxes. Within each box, we compute the optimal behavioral strategy. Here we show that it also provides a critical balance between alignment and anticipation. They conjectured (due to the importance of information exchange between particles was maximized at a critical balance between alignment and anticipation. They find that the “correct” amount of anticipation can counteract the external fluid forcing.

Interestingly, in Szabó et al. [10] they found that the information exchange between particles was maximized at a critical balance between alignment and anticipation. They conjectured (due to the importance of information exchange in animal societies) that such a critical balance may provide an optimal behavioral strategy. Here we show that it also provides a method to overcome the destabilizing effects of spatially correlated noise.

To quantify the results presented in Fig. 3, we define two relevant statistics. First, we quantify the “patchiness” of the spatial distribution of particles in the domain. Following [11,18], we course-grain the particles onto a $16 \times 16$ regular array of boxes. Within each box, we compute the particle density (based on the number of particles lying within the box), and we denote this quantity $n(x,t)$. As the particle density in each box is Poisson-distributed, this has a mean value $E[n] = \lambda = N/4\pi^2 \approx 12.6$. If particles preferentially accumulate in certain regions of the domain, the standard deviation of $n, \sigma_n$ increases relative to its initial value, $\sigma_p = \lambda^{1/2}$. Hence $\sigma_n$ can be appropriately normalized to give the accumulation index [18] $D = (\sigma_n - \sigma_p)/\lambda$, which is a measure of the spatial distribution of the points in the domain. Large values of $D$ indicate patchiness, i.e., the particles are concentrated in smaller subdomain(s), $D = 0$ indicates a random distribution of particles, and $D < 0$ indicates segregation of particles, relative to a random distribution.

To extract the regions where the particles are located, we define $\zeta$ to be

$$\zeta = \int_{A} n|\omega|dA,$$  

which is the integral of the product of the particle density field and the modulus of the flow’s vorticity field. For a random distribution of particles, we would expect $\zeta = \zeta_0 = |\bar{\omega}|(N/4\pi^2) \approx 10$, where the overbar denotes the spatial mean of the modulus of the vorticity field. If the particles are concentrated in regions of vanishing vorticity, then we would expect $\zeta \approx 0$. Conversely, if $\zeta > \zeta_0$, then particles are concentrated in regions of high vorticity.

Figure 5 shows the temporally averaged and ensemble-averaged (as described above) values of $D$ (left panel) and $\zeta$ (right). We see that without any anticipation, as the flow speed increases, particles are confined into the regions of low vorticity, resulting in increasing values of $\langle D \rangle$ and decreasing values of $\langle \zeta \rangle$. However, some anticipation (the optimal amount depending on the flow speed, as one may expect) is seen to lead to values of $\zeta \sim \zeta_0$. Finally, we see for large values of $\alpha$ the particles tend to collect in regions of high vorticity, consistent with our earlier discussion of Fig. 3.

This also ties into our earlier discussion about the motivation for collective motion in terms of safety in numbers to minimize the “domain of danger.” Clearly with too little anticipation (where the particles in our model are forced to not be strongly influenced by the underlying structure of the external fluid forcing.

**IV. SUMMARY**

To summarize, we have investigated an extension to the widely used Vicsek model in which collective motion emerges due to alignment with neighboring particles and anticipation of their motion. With the addition of extrinsic noise in the form of a steady vortical flow, we find the global order of the flock is significantly reduced and particles are confined to regions of low vorticity. In contrast, in a model based purely on anticipation, we find that particles concentrate in regions of high vorticity. Most strikingly, we find that particles with a critical balance of alignment and anticipation are no longer slaves to the flow, and global coherence emerges. At this critical balance (for $V_f < 1.0$), we also see a reduction in the magnitude of the fluctuations of $\psi$, which surely would also be advantageous to members of the flock.

Hence one strategy for animals flocking in a complex (i.e., turbulent) flow could be not only to align with neighbors but also to anticipate their motion, which seems entirely plausible.
In addition, our findings could have implications for flocking autonomous drones (unmanned aerial vehicles) and artificial microswimmers [19]. By varying the amount of alignment and anticipation, different regions of a fluid could be probed, or by tuning their relative contributions the separation between devices could be maximized, i.e., to prevent collisions. While this clearly does not mark the end of the story, particularly in biological systems, we strongly believe that by studying how flocks react to external perturbations (fluid motion, predatory threats, etc.), and by comparing to the dynamics of models, we will have a better understanding of collective motion in biological systems.

[16] Note the use of the atan2 function, the four-quadrant inverse tangent $\tan^{-1}(y,x)$. Two arguments are required, so that the signs of the inputs are not lost, which is essential to return to the correct quadrant of the computed angle.